

Coarse-graining dynamics to maximize irreversibility

Qiwei Yu,¹ Matthew P. Leighton,² and Christopher W. Lynn^{2,3,*}

¹*Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544*

²*Department of Physics and Quantitative Biology Institute, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511*

³*Wu Tsai Institute, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510*

In many far-from-equilibrium biological systems, energy injected by irreversible processes at microscopic scales propagates to larger scales to fulfill important biological functions. But given dissipative dynamics at the microscale, how much irreversibility can persist at the macroscale? Here, we propose a model-free coarse-graining procedure that merges microscopic states to minimize the amount of lost irreversibility. Beginning with dynamical measurements, this procedure produces coarse-grained dynamics that retain as much information as possible about the underlying irreversibility. In synthetic and experimental data spanning molecular motors, biochemical oscillators, and recordings of neural activity, we derive simplified descriptions that capture the essential nonequilibrium processes. These results provide the tools to study the fundamental limits on the emergence of macroscopic irreversibility.

Introduction—Biological systems are intrinsically nonequilibrium. The continuous dissipation of free energy is required to fulfill a wide range of biological functions [1, 2], ranging from error correction [3–7] and environmental sensing [8–15] to communication [16] and collective behaviors [17–19]. Energy dissipation occurs at the microscopic scale through irreversible reaction cycles and then propagates across scales to achieve emergent biological functions. For example, in cytoskeletal networks, energy consumed by motor proteins at the molecular scale propagates to the mesoscale to power active flows of microtubule bundles [20]. Similarly, neurons and glia in the human brain account for up to 20% of the body’s metabolism to power neural activity that is responsible for higher-order cognition [21–23]. Yet despite the clear generation of nonequilibrium dynamics at small scales, it remains unclear how much irreversibility can propagate to large scales.

A natural approach for connecting observables (such as irreversibility) across scales is the renormalization group (RG) [24, 25], which has provided key insights into active and living systems [26–30]. To implement RG, one must choose an iterative coarse-graining procedure, which defines the macroscopic degrees of freedom. Conventionally, coarse-graining is based on locality: Microscopic variables are combined when they are close in space [24, 25]. However, locality is less obvious in complex biological systems, where interactions can span long distances (as in networks of neurons) and states can be abstract without reference to physical proximity (as in chemical kinetics). A key result in the study of nonequilibrium systems is that all coarse-grainings destroy information about the underlying dynamics, thus leading to (sometimes dramatic) reductions in irreversibility [30–37]. This means that in each step of RG, there is a unique coarse-graining with maximum irreversibility, which retains as much information as possible about the dissipative dynamics.

Here, we propose a coarse-graining procedure that minimizes the drop in irreversibility from microstates to

macrostates. The result is a model-free framework that identifies, at each level of coarse-graining, an effective description that captures as much of the underlying irreversibility as possible. Studying molecular motors, biochemical oscillators, and neural activity, we consistently find that a large amount of irreversibility can be preserved at high levels of description. Moreover, the coarse-grained dynamics capture key nonequilibrium features, such as limit cycles and directed flows, which highlight the large-scale functions in each system. These results pave the way for general applications to living systems far from equilibrium. To begin, we must define the maximum irreversibility coarse-graining.

Maximum irreversibility coarse-graining—We consider a system with N microscopic states and transition rates k_{ij} from state i to state j . The steady-state probabilities P_i and fluxes $J_{ij} = k_{ij}P_i$ satisfy the flux balance condition $\sum_j J_{ij} = \sum_j J_{ji}$ for each state i . The total irreversibility of the system is

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \hat{\sigma}(J_{ij}, J_{ji}), \quad (1)$$

where the contribution from each pair of states is

$$\hat{\sigma}(J_{ij}, J_{ji}) = (J_{ij} - J_{ji}) \ln \frac{J_{ij}}{J_{ji}}, \quad (2)$$

and the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ avoids double counting. Even if the dynamics are non-Markovian, as occurs generically after coarse-graining, we can still define σ ; it measures the “local” irreversibility, or the explicit violation of time-reversal symmetry in individual transitions [23, 38].

Coarse-graining (CG) combines microstates $(i, j, \dots)$ into macrostates $(\alpha, \beta, \dots)$, leading to probabilities $P_\alpha = \sum_{i \in \alpha} P_i$ and fluxes $J_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i \in \alpha, j \in \beta} J_{ij}$. Each choice of CG leads to a drop in irreversibility,

$$\Delta\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i,j} \hat{\sigma}(J_{ij}, J_{ji}) - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \hat{\sigma}(J_{\alpha\beta}, J_{\beta\alpha}) \right]. \quad (3)$$

It is straightforward to show that $\Delta\sigma \geq 0$ [see Supplemental Material (SM)], such that coarse-graining can only reduce the apparent irreversibility of the system [31]. This means that, for a given number of macrostates, there is a unique CG that minimizes $\Delta\sigma$, thus retaining as much information as possible about the dissipative dynamics. However, because the number of CGs explodes combinatorially with N , searching for the optimal CG with maximum irreversibility is infeasible.

Instead, following RG, we can construct macrostates by iteratively combining pairs of microstates. At each step, we search over each pair of states $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and compute the drop in irreversibility due to their merger,

$$\Delta\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \hat{\sigma}(J_{\alpha\beta}, J_{\beta\alpha}) + \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta} \left[\hat{\sigma}(J_{\alpha\gamma}, J_{\gamma\alpha}) + \hat{\sigma}(J_{\beta\gamma}, J_{\gamma\beta}) - \hat{\sigma}(J_{\alpha\gamma} + J_{\beta\gamma}, J_{\gamma\alpha} + J_{\gamma\beta}) \right]. \quad (4)$$

Combining the pair of states that minimizes $\Delta\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ and repeating this process until the desired number of macrostates is reached, we arrive at an efficient CG that locally maximizes the irreversibility.

The form of Eq. (4) immediately hints at the structure of optimal CGs. To minimize $\Delta\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$, one seeks to combine states $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ with not only low internal irreversibility $\hat{\sigma}(J_{\alpha\beta}, J_{\beta\alpha})$, but also low irreversibility due to fluxes with neighbors γ in the system $\hat{\sigma}(J_{\alpha\gamma}, J_{\gamma\alpha}) + \hat{\sigma}(J_{\beta\gamma}, J_{\gamma\beta})$. Additionally, once combined, the optimal states should have fluxes that align to produce high irreversibility with the rest of the system $\hat{\sigma}(J_{\alpha\gamma} + J_{\beta\gamma}, J_{\gamma\alpha} + J_{\gamma\beta})$. We note, however, that even with the tools to construct the optimal CG, there is no guarantee that a small number of states can capture a large amount of irreversibility. Whether a good CG exists will depend critically on the system itself.

Molecular motor—To illustrate this procedure, we consider the chemical kinetics of the molecular motor kinesin-1, one of a family of motor proteins that transport cargo along microtubules within cells [45]. The stepping of the motor can be modeled as a four-state reaction cycle [Fig. 1(a)] with net fluxes representing the binding, unbinding, and hydrolysis of ATP (and its reaction products) [39]. Here, one full forward (reverse) chemical cycle corresponds to one forward (reverse) step of the motor along the microtubule. In this case, the full irreversibility is equivalent to the microscopic entropy production of the reaction dynamics. There are six different ways to coarse-grain the model, one for each pair of states. Two CGs combine states diagonally (1 and 3 or 2 and 4), destroying the reaction cycle and reducing the irreversibility to zero [Fig. 1(b), grey lines]. By contrast, the four remaining CGs combine adjacent states, removing one step from the reaction cycle but preserving the overall flux. Even with the same net flux, each of these CGs yields a distinct drop in irreversibility [Fig. 1(b),




FIG. 1. Coarse-graining the kinesin chemical reaction cycle. (a) Minimal four-state model of the reaction cycle as the motor steps along the microtubule [39]. Colors and thicknesses of arrows represent the types and strengths of net fluxes [same for (c–d)]. Inset image adapted from PDB [40, 41]. (b) Fraction of irreversibility preserved under coarse-graining for the four-state model. Colors correspond to coarse-graining the different steps in (a); grey curves correspond to diagonal mergers (combining states 1 and 3 or 2 and 4), which destroy the irreversibility. (c) Six-state model with separate cycles for forward and backward steps [42]. (d) Optimal four-state CG of the six-state model. (e) Fraction of irreversibility preserved under coarse-graining for the six-state model. Grey curves show all possible CGs, red is optimal, blue is random (averaging over all CGs), and black is the four-state model in (a). See SM for details and parameters.

colored lines]. Among these options, we find that coarse-graining the ADP unbinding step minimizes the loss of irreversibility.

A more detailed model of kinesin incorporates separate cycles for the forward and reverse steps of the motor [Fig. 1(c)] [42]. Just by expanding to six states, there are now 65 different CGs with four states and 90 with three states. Yet across each level of description, our iterative algorithm identifies the globally optimal CG with maximum irreversibility [Fig. 1(e)], which can be computed exactly for this small network by enumerating all possible CGs. These optimal CGs retain much more of the underlying nonequilibrium dynamics than random combinations of microstates. In fact, with only four states, the




FIG. 2. Coarse-graining biochemical oscillations. (a) Steady-state probability density (colors) and net fluxes (arrows) in the Brusselator model of biochemical oscillations [43, 44]. Fluxes are averaged over local neighborhoods. (b) Fraction of irreversibility preserved after coarse-graining for three different procedures: optimal (red), random (blue), and square blocking (purple). Inset displays the same data on log-log axes. (c) Net fluxes between states in the full system with $N = 12800$ states (left) and under optimal CGs with 400 (center) and 50 (right) macrostates. Within each macrostate, fluxes are lost due to coarse-graining. See SM for details and parameters.

optimal CG retains all of the microscopic irreversibility [Fig. 1(d)]. This reduced description contains the same dominant reaction cycle as the original four-state model in Fig. 1(a), but also preserves additional irreversibility by incorporating secondary cycles from the six-state model [Fig. 1(c)]. Furthermore, the optimal three-state CG preserves 83% of the total irreversibility, approximately the same amount as the original four-state model [Fig. 1(e), black triangle]. These results demonstrate how, even in a simple system, one can achieve reduced descriptions with coarse-grained states and fluxes that still maintain the key nonequilibrium dynamics.

Biochemical oscillator—The efficiency of our CG procedure opens the door for applications to systems with many more microstates. Consider the Brusselator model for biochemical oscillators [43, 44], which contains $N \sim 10^4$ states spanned by the numbers N_X and N_Y of two molecules X and Y (see SM for details). Chemical reactions involving the two molecules induce net fluxes between neighboring states [Fig. 2(a)]. As in many biochemical oscillations, these fluxes combine to form a macroscopic loop; this suggests that, by focusing on the central loop of flux, a coarse-grained description may be able to capture much of the nonequilibrium dynamics.

To preserve locality, we only consider CGs that combine neighboring states. If states are combined at random, then the nonequilibrium dynamics are quickly lost to coarse-graining, and we observe a super-linear drop in irreversibility [Fig. 2(b), blue]. For comparison, following RG, we can recursively combine neighboring states into square blocks [24, 30]. This approach only retains a slightly larger fraction of the irreversibility than random [Fig. 2(b), purple]. Strikingly, the optimal CG, computed using our iterative procedure, preserves orders of magnitude more irreversibility than these naïve methods [Fig. 2(b), red].

To understand how the optimal CG preserves irre-

versibility, we can investigate the structure of the inferred macrostates. In Fig. 2(c), we illustrate the strengths of the net fluxes between states at different levels of coarse-graining; note that these fluxes also indicate the boundaries between states since the fluxes within macrostates are destroyed. We see that the optimal procedure partitions the state space along the limit cycle, combining states perpendicular to the cycle in order to maintain as much flux as possible [Fig. 2(c), center]. As the number of states decreases, the partition becomes coarser, but the limit cycle remains the dominant feature [Fig. 2(c), right]. For comparison, if we combine random states or blocks of adjacent states, then the fluxes are almost entirely lost (see SM). We therefore find that a large amount of irreversibility in biochemical reactions can be compressed into a small number of states. Moreover, simply by preserving the dissipative dynamics (without any knowledge of the underlying physics), the optimal CG uncovers the central dissipative structure in the system.

Neural activity—Thus far, we have focused on model systems in which the microscopic details are fully known and the macroscopic irreversibility is self-evident. But what can coarse-graining reveal about real biological measurements, where the nonequilibrium dynamics are unknown? To answer this question, we study recordings of neural activity in the hippocampus of a mouse [46]. Specifically, we consider the activity of $N = 1485$ neurons, recorded using two-photon calcium imaging as the mouse runs along a one-dimensional virtual track [Fig. 3(a); see SM for details]. Approximately 30% of these neurons are place cells, which preferentially fire when the mouse is in a specific location [48–50]. In this way, the hippocampus is thought to play a key role in encoding the animal’s position and mapping features in its environment [51–53].

As the mouse moves forward along the track, it generates an irreversible cycle of flux in physical space. Yet




FIG. 3. Coarse-graining neural activity in the hippocampus. (a) A mouse runs along a one-dimensional virtual track as the activities of $N = 1485$ neurons are recorded in the hippocampus [46]. Approximately 30% of the neurons are place cells (indicated by colors in the neural network), which fire preferentially at specific locations along the track (indicated by location-dependent firing rates known as place fields). Mouse graphic adapted from Ref. [47] (CC BY 4.0). (b) Fraction of irreversibility preserved after coarse-graining for three different procedures: optimal (red), random (blue), and combining maximally correlated neurons (purple). (c) Place fields of 10 macrostates computed using the optimal CG with white points indicating the peaks. Top inset represents the distribution of mouse positions along the track. (d) Mutual information between coarse-grained neural activity and mouse position for different CG procedures. Inset is a zoomed-in view for the coarsest scales. (e) Probability flux between 10 macrostates. Note that the scale for random is 10 times smaller than optimal and max-corr.

it remains unclear whether this physical flux induces an irreversible flux in the abstract space of neural activity. Here, we define the state of the population based on the most recent neuron to fire, and we count a transition $i \rightarrow j$ if neuron i firing leads to neuron j firing after a time delay Δt (see SM for details). We use a time delay of $\Delta t = 3s$, which we find produces neural dynamics with the largest irreversibility; we confirm that other choices for Δt yield the same results, qualitatively (see SM). Thus, we arrive at a system with N states, one for each neuron, where the fluxes J_{ij} represent the flow of neural activity from neuron i to neuron j .

For each coarse-grained description, merging states is equivalent to combining neurons, such that macrostates directly reflect the collective activity of subsets of the population. Without any knowledge of the system, one can combine neurons at random; in this case, fluxes in activity are quickly destroyed, leading to a rapid drop in irreversibility [Fig. 3(b), blue]. With knowledge of only the correlations between neurons, the most natural CG iteratively combines pairs of cells whose activities are most correlated (see SM); this method still only preserves an amount of irreversibility that is linear in the number of states [Fig. 3(b), purple]. Finally, with knowledge of the neural dynamics, our optimal CG uncovers groups

of neurons that preserve macroscopic fluxes in activity, thus capturing much more of the underlying irreversibility [Fig. 3(b), red].

Visualizing the optimal CG, we find that it groups neurons into macroscopic “place cells”, which activate when the mouse is in a specific location [Fig. 3(c)]. Each macro-cell is characterized by a macroscopic “place field”, which defines its activity as a function of position. These place fields are not distributed uniformly along the track; instead, the spatial resolution increases in locations where the mouse spends more time [Fig. 3(c), top]. The lone exception is the first macro-cell, which contains the majority of the non-place cells in the population and therefore exhibits uniform activity along the track (see SM). Thus, without any access to positional information, the optimal CG appears to learn an efficient representation of the mouse location. To confirm this intuition, we compute the mutual information between the coarse-grained activity and mouse position [Fig. 3(d)]; across all levels of description, the optimal CG achieves high spatial information.

Given the spatial structure of the optimal CG, as the mouse runs along the track, we should observe a directed cycle of transitions from one macrostate to the next. Indeed, for the ten-state CG in Fig. 3(c), the neural dynam-

ics display a clear loop of flux that reflects the physical trajectory of the mouse [Fig. 3(e), top]. By contrast, while random CGs exhibit fluxes between macrostates, these fluxes are much smaller in magnitude and do not combine into a coherent cycle [Fig. 3(e), center]. Meanwhile, combining the most correlated neurons produces macrostates with high spatial information [Fig. 3(d)], but even this description fails to produce a large-scale cycle [Fig. 3(e), bottom]. Thus, by maximizing the irreversibility of neural dynamics (without any knowledge of the animal's behavior), we arrive at a reduced description that uncovers the main driver of large-scale fluxes in the hippocampus: spatial navigation.

Discussion—In living systems, irreversible dynamics are driven at microscopic scales by dissipative processes that consume energy. These nonequilibrium dynamics then propagate across spatiotemporal scales to power biological functions that are critical for life. Bridging microscopic irreversibility with macroscopic biological function has thus remained a long-standing goal in the physics of living systems [54].

Here, we develop a model-free procedure for constructing optimal coarse-grained descriptions of irreversible systems. Our framework hinges on one key insight: Local irreversibility of a system can only decrease with coarse-graining [31]. Thus, for a desired number of macrostates, there is generically a unique CG that retains as much information as possible about the underlying nonequilibrium dynamics. Taking inspiration from RG, we propose an iterative procedure that combines pairs of states to locally maximize the irreversibility of the coarse-grained description. Thus, in contrast to inferring microscopic irreversibility from coarse-grained measurements [55–65], we have taken a complementary approach by investigating how irreversibility can be used to infer simplified representations of nonequilibrium systems. Applying our framework to molecular motors, biochemical oscillators, and recordings of neural activity, we consistently find that a large amount of irreversibility can be compressed into a small number of macrostates. Moreover, in each instance, our procedure uncovers coarse-grained dynamics that capture the essential nonequilibrium processes.

The proposed framework is general, applying (without model assumptions) to any dynamical time-series data. It can therefore immediately be used to investigate the nature of nonequilibrium dynamics spanning a range of complex living systems, including cytoskeletal networks [20], cellular signaling [16], whole-brain neural dynamics [22], and animal behavior [66–68]. Across these distinct contexts, do there exist reduced descriptions that capture large amounts of irreversibility? And if so, are there consistent dissipative structures at small scales that support irreversible dynamics at large scales? The framework presented here provides the tools to begin answering these questions.

Acknowledgements—This work was supported in part

by a Harold W. Dodds Fellowship (Q.Y.), a Mossman Postdoctoral Fellowship and a Seessel Postdoctoral Fellowship (M.P.L.), and by support from the Department of Physics and the Quantitative Biology Institute at Yale University (C.W.L.).

* Corresponding author: christopher.lynn@yale.edu

- [1] F. S. Gnesotto, F. Mura, J. Gladrow, and C. P. Broedersz, Broken detailed balance and non-equilibrium dynamics in living systems: a review, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 066601 (2018).
- [2] X. Yang, M. Heinemann, J. Howard, G. Huber, S. Iyer-Biswas, G. Le Treut, M. Lynch, K. L. Montooth, D. J. Needleman, S. Pigolotti, J. Rodenfels, P. Ronceray, S. Shankar, I. Tavassoly, S. Thutupalli, D. V. Titov, J. Wang, and P. J. Foster, Physical bioenergetics: Energy fluxes, budgets, and constraints in cells, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **118**, e2026786118 (2021).
- [3] J. J. Hopfield, Kinetic proofreading: A new mechanism for reducing errors in biosynthetic processes requiring high specificity, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **71**, 4135 (1974).
- [4] J. Ninio, Kinetic amplification of enzyme discrimination, *Biochimie* **57**, 587 (1975).
- [5] A. Murugan, D. A. Huse, and S. Leibler, Speed, dissipation, and error in kinetic proofreading, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 12034 (2012).
- [6] A. Murugan, D. A. Huse, and S. Leibler, Discriminatory proofreading regimes in nonequilibrium systems, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 021016 (2014).
- [7] Q. Yu, A. B. Kolomeisky, and O. A. Igoshin, The energy cost and optimal design of networks for biological discrimination, *J. R. Soc. Interface* **19**, 20210883 (2022).
- [8] T. E. Ouldridge, C. C. Govern, and P. R. Ten Wolde, Thermodynamics of computational copying in biochemical systems, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 021004 (2017).
- [9] P. Mehta, A. H. Lang, and D. J. Schwab, Landauer in the age of synthetic biology: Energy consumption and information processing in biochemical networks, *J. Stat. Phys.* **162**, 1153 (2016).
- [10] P. R. Ten Wolde, N. B. Becker, T. E. Ouldridge, and A. Mugler, Fundamental limits to cellular sensing, *J. Stat. Phys.* **162**, 1395 (2016).
- [11] C. C. Govern and P. R. ten Wolde, Optimal resource allocation in cellular sensing systems, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 17486 (2014).
- [12] D. Hathcock, Q. Yu, B. A. Mello, D. N. Amin, G. L. Hazelbauer, and Y. Tu, A nonequilibrium allosteric model for receptor-kinase complexes: The role of energy dissipation in chemotaxis signaling, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **120**, e2303115120 (2023).
- [13] D. Hathcock, Q. Yu, and Y. Tu, Time-reversal symmetry breaking in the chemosensory array reveals a general mechanism for dissipation-enhanced cooperative sensing, *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 8892 (2024).
- [14] A. Pagare, S. H. Min, and Z. Lu, Theoretical upper bound of multiplexing in biological sensory receptors, *Physical Review Research* **5**, 023032 (2023).
- [15] A. J. Tjalma, V. Galstyan, J. Goedhart, L. Slim, N. B. Becker, and P. R. Ten Wolde, Trade-offs between cost

- and information in cellular prediction, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **120**, e2303078120 (2023).
- [16] S. J. Bryant and B. B. Machta, Physical constraints in intracellular signaling: The cost of sending a bit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 068401 (2023).
 - [17] F. Ferretti, S. Grosse-Holz, C. Holmes, J. L. Shivers, I. Giardina, T. Mora, and A. M. Walczak, Signatures of irreversibility in microscopic models of flocking, Phys. Rev. E **106**, 034608 (2022).
 - [18] Q. Yu and Y. Tu, Energy cost for flocking of active spins: the cusped dissipation maximum at the flocking transition, Physical review letters **129**, 278001 (2022).
 - [19] F. Ferretti, I. Giardina, T. Grigera, G. Piségnà, and M. Veca, Out of equilibrium response and fluctuation-dissipation violations across scales in flocking systems, arXiv [cond-mat.stat-mech] (2024).
 - [20] P. J. Foster, J. Bae, B. Lemma, J. Zheng, W. Ireland, P. Chandrakar, R. Boros, Z. Dogic, D. J. Needleman, and J. J. Vlassak, Dissipation and energy propagation across scales in an active cytoskeletal material, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **120**, e2207662120 (2023).
 - [21] J. J. Harris, R. Jolivet, and D. Attwell, Synaptic energy use and supply, Neuron **75**, 762 (2012).
 - [22] C. W. Lynn, E. J. Cornblath, L. Papadopoulos, M. A. Bertolero, and D. S. Bassett, Broken detailed balance and entropy production in the human brain, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **118**, e2109889118 (2021).
 - [23] C. W. Lynn, C. M. Holmes, W. Bialek, and D. J. Schwab, Decomposing the local arrow of time in interacting systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 118101 (2022).
 - [24] L. P. Kadanoff, Scaling laws for ising models near T_c , Physics **2**, 263 (1966).
 - [25] K. G. Wilson, The renormalization group: Critical phenomena and the Kondo problem, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47**, 773 (1975).
 - [26] Y. Tu, The renormalization group for non-equilibrium systems, Nat. Phys. **19**, 1536 (2023).
 - [27] L. Meshulam, J. L. Gauthier, C. D. Brody, D. W. Tank, and W. Bialek, Coarse graining, fixed points, and scaling in a large population of neurons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 178103 (2019).
 - [28] A. Cavagna, L. Di Carlo, I. Giardina, L. Grandinetti, T. S. Grigera, and G. Piségnà, Dynamical renormalization group approach to the collective behavior of swarms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 268001 (2019).
 - [29] A. Cavagna, L. Di Carlo, I. Giardina, T. S. Grigera, S. Melillo, L. Parisi, G. Piségnà, and M. Scandolo, Natural swarms in 3.99 dimensions, Nat. Phys. **19**, 1043 (2023).
 - [30] Q. Yu, D. Zhang, and Y. Tu, Inverse power law scaling of energy dissipation rate in nonequilibrium reaction networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 080601 (2021).
 - [31] M. Esposito, Stochastic thermodynamics under coarse graining, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 041125 (2012).
 - [32] D. M. Busiello, J. Hidalgo, and A. Maritan, Entropy production for coarse-grained dynamics, New J. Phys. **21**, 073004 (2019).
 - [33] D. M. Busiello and A. Maritan, Entropy production in master equations and fokker-planck equations: facing the coarse-graining and recovering the information loss, J. Stat. Mech. **2019**, 104013 (2019).
 - [34] S. Bo and A. Celani, Entropy production in stochastic systems with fast and slow time-scales, J. Stat. Phys. **154**, 1325 (2014).
 - [35] L. Cocconi, G. Salbreux, and G. Pruessner, Scaling of entropy production under coarse graining in active disordered media, Phys. Rev. E. **105**, L042601 (2022).
 - [36] Q. Yu and Y. Tu, State-space renormalization group theory of nonequilibrium reaction networks: Exact solutions for hypercubic lattices in arbitrary dimensions, Phys. Rev. E. **105**, 044140 (2022).
 - [37] Q. Yu and P. E. Harunari, Dissipation at limited resolutions: power law and detection of hidden dissipative scales, J. Stat. Mech. **2024**, 103201 (2024).
 - [38] C. W. Lynn, C. M. Holmes, W. Bialek, and D. J. Schwab, Emergence of local irreversibility in complex interacting systems, Phys. Rev. E. **106**, 034102 (2022).
 - [39] M. E. Fisher and A. B. Kolomeisky, Simple mechanochemistry describes the dynamics of kinesin molecules, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **98**, 7748 (2001).
 - [40] H. M. Berman, J. Westbrook, Z. Feng, G. Gilliland, T. N. Bhat, H. Weissig, I. N. Shindyalov, and P. E. Bourne, The protein data bank, Nucleic Acids Research **28**, 235 (2000).
 - [41] D. S. Goodsell, C. Zardecki, H. M. Berman, and S. K. Burley, Insights from 20 years of the molecule of the month, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education **48**, 350 (2020).
 - [42] S. Liepelt and R. Lipowsky, Kinesin's network of chemomechanical motor cycles, Physical review letters **98**, 258102 (2007).
 - [43] G. Nicolis and I. Prigogine, *Self-organization in non-equilibrium systems* (Wiley, New York, 1997).
 - [44] J. H. Fritz, B. Nguyen, and U. Seifert, Stochastic thermodynamics of chemical reactions coupled to finite reservoirs: A case study for the brusselator, J. Chem. Phys. **152**, 235101 (2020).
 - [45] N. Hirokawa, Y. Noda, Y. Tanaka, and S. Niwa, Kinesin superfamily motor proteins and intracellular transport, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. **10**, 682 (2009).
 - [46] J. L. Gauthier and D. W. Tank, A dedicated population for reward coding in the hippocampus, Neuron **99**, 179 (2018).
 - [47] L. Li, C57 mouse (2021).
 - [48] J. O'Keefe, Place units in the hippocampus of the freely moving rat, Exp. Neurol. **51**, 78 (1976).
 - [49] J. O'Keefe and L. Nadel, The hippocampus as a cognitive map, Behav. Brain Sci. **2**, 520 (1979).
 - [50] L. Meshulam, J. L. Gauthier, C. D. Brody, D. W. Tank, and W. Bialek, Collective behavior of place and non-place neurons in the hippocampal network, Neuron **96**, 1178 (2017).
 - [51] K. I. Blum and L. F. Abbott, A model of spatial map formation in the hippocampus of the rat, Neural Comput. **8**, 85 (1996).
 - [52] O. Hazon, V. H. Minces, D. P. Tomàs, S. Ganguli, M. J. Schnitzer, and P. E. Jercog, Noise correlations in neural ensemble activity limit the accuracy of hippocampal spatial representations, Nat. Commun. **13**, 4276 (2022).
 - [53] M. Nardin, J. Csicsvari, G. Tkačik, and C. Savin, The structure of hippocampal ca1 interactions optimizes spatial coding across experience, J. Neurosci. **43**, 8140 (2023).
 - [54] E. Schrodinger, *What is life? The physical aspect of the living cell* (Cambridge University Press, 1948).
 - [55] J. Li, J. M. Horowitz, T. R. Gingrich, and N. Fakhri,

- Quantifying dissipation using fluctuating currents, *Nature communications* **10**, 1666 (2019).
- [56] S. Otsubo, S. Ito, A. Dechant, and T. Sagawa, Estimating entropy production by machine learning of short-time fluctuating currents, *Physical Review E* **101**, 062106 (2020).
 - [57] J. M. Horowitz and T. R. Gingrich, Thermodynamic uncertainty relations constrain non-equilibrium fluctuations, *Nature Physics* **16**, 15 (2020).
 - [58] F. A. Cisneros, N. Fakhri, and J. M. Horowitz, Dissipative timescales from coarse-graining irreversibility, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2023**, 073201 (2023).
 - [59] A. Ghosal and G. Bisker, Inferring entropy production rate from partially observed langevin dynamics under coarse-graining, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **24**, 24021 (2022).
 - [60] D. J. Skinner and J. Dunkel, Improved bounds on entropy production in living systems, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **118**, e2024300118 (2021).
 - [61] D. J. Skinner and J. Dunkel, Estimating entropy production from waiting time distributions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 198101 (2021).
 - [62] J. van der Meer, B. Ertel, and U. Seifert, Thermodynamic inference in partially accessible markov networks: A unifying perspective from transition-based waiting time distributions, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 031025 (2022).
 - [63] P. E. Harunari, A. Dutta, M. Polettini, and E. Roldán, What to learn from a few visible transitions' statistics?, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 041026 (2022).
 - [64] M. P. Leighton and D. A. Sivak, Jensen bound for the entropy production rate in stochastic thermodynamics, *Physical Review E* **109**, L012101 (2024).
 - [65] J. Li, C.-W. J. Liu, M. Szurek, and N. Fakhri, Measuring irreversibility from learned representations of biological patterns, *PRX Life* **2**, 033013 (2024).
 - [66] G. J. Berman, D. M. Choi, W. Bialek, and J. W. Shaevitz, Mapping the stereotyped behaviour of freely moving fruit flies, *J. R. Soc. Interface* **11**, 20140672 (2014).
 - [67] A. C. Costa, T. Ahamed, D. Jordan, and G. J. Stephens, A markovian dynamics for caenorhabditis elegans behavior across scales, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **121**, e2318805121 (2024).
 - [68] C. Castellano, M. Marsili, and A. Vespignani, Nonequilibrium phase transition in a model for social influence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3536 (2000).